



Interplay dynamics, citizens' rights, preservation of law and order in the Ecuadorian context

Dinámica interplay, derechos ciudadanos, preservación del orden público en el contexto ecuatoriano

Luis Andrés Crespo-Berti

ui.luis Crespo@uniandes.edu.ec

Universidad Autónoma Regional de los Andes, Ibarra, Imbabura, Ecuador

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8609-4738>

ABSTRACT

The balance between individual rights and collective demands for security and stability is a central challenge for the development and consolidation of a fair and efficient legal system. The aim is to analyse the dynamics of interplay, citizens' rights and the preservation of public order in the Ecuadorian context. A descriptive documentary type, impregnated with a bibliographic design, the analytical-synthetic method was applied in an exploration of 15 scientific articles. Social and economic challenges, from economic inequality to cultural diversity, influence citizens' perceptions of the effectiveness of public order measures. Addressing these challenges requires a delicate balance between protecting individual rights and implementing policies that ensure collective security. As the intricacies of this interplay are explored, it reveals an intrinsic dynamic that manifests itself in every corner of the country's legal and social context.

Descriptors: Law enforcement; State security; Human rights. (Source: UNESCO Thesaurus).

RESUMEN

El equilibrio entre los derechos individuales y las demandas colectivas de seguridad y estabilidad, constituye un desafío central para el desarrollo y consolidación de un sistema jurídico justo y eficiente. Se tiene por objetivo analizar la dinámica interplay, derechos ciudadanos, preservación del orden público en el contexto ecuatoriano. De tipo descriptiva documental, impregnada de un diseño bibliográfico, Se aplicó el método analítico-sintético en una exploración de 15 artículos científicos. Los desafíos sociales y económicos, desde la desigualdad económica hasta la diversidad cultural, influyen en la percepción ciudadana sobre la efectividad de las medidas de orden público. Abordar estos desafíos requiere un equilibrio delicado entre la protección de los derechos individuales y la implementación de políticas que aseguren la seguridad colectiva. A medida que se exploran los entresijos de esta interplay, se revela una dinámica intrínseca que se manifiesta en cada rincón del contexto jurídico y social del país.

Descriptores: aplicación de la ley; seguridad del estado; derechos humanos. (Fuente: Tesauro UNESCO).

Received: 19/09/2023. Revised: 08/10/2023. Approved: 18/11/2023. Published: 01/01/2024.

Research articles section



INTRODUCTION

In Ecuador's contemporary legal scenario, the intersection between citizenship and public order emerges as a crucial axis for the understanding and consolidation of a robust and equitable legal system (Azanza-Torres, 2022). This article dives deep into the intrinsic relationship between citizenship as an active entity and the maintenance of public order as an essential pillar of the rule of law (Villegas-Díaz, 2023). In a university environment, characterised by academic demand and specialisation, it is imperative to explore the normative and conceptual complexities that define the interplay between citizens' rights and the preservation of public order in the Ecuadorian context (Rey-Varela, 2023), (Rincón, 2018).

This paper is based on the premise that the balance between individual rights and collective demands for security and stability is a central challenge for the development and consolidation of a fair and efficient legal system. From a university educational perspective, this analysis seeks not only to unravel the legal foundations that govern the citizenship-public order relationship, but also to provide a solid conceptual basis that will enable legal scholars and practitioners to address with depth and insight the emerging challenges in this complex normative framework.

Through an exhaustive review of current legislation, relevant judicial precedents and an exploration of the social dynamics that define the Ecuadorian context, this article aims to contribute to the academic and practical debate on how to reconcile citizen aspirations with the preservation of a public order that guarantees security and common welfare. In this intellectual journey, the aim is not only to analyse existing legal provisions, but also to propose critical reflections that can illuminate future discussions and decisions in the legal sphere.

Ultimately, this article stands as a vital tool for academic training in the field of law in Ecuador, where a deep understanding of the relationship between citizenship and public order is not only essential for the training of ethical and competent professionals, but also for the construction of a society based on the fundamental principles of justice, equity and respect for individual rights.



Based on the above, the aim is to analyse the dynamics of interplay, citizens' rights and the preservation of public order in the Ecuadorian context.

METHOD

At the heart of this descriptive documentary research, infused with a bibliographic design and conducted through the application of the analytical-synthetic method, a rigorous approach is forged to unravel the complexities inherent in the phenomenon under scrutiny. This method not only constitutes the methodological scaffolding, but a compass that guides the journey through a vast ocean of accumulated knowledge.

The bibliographic design, as the backbone of this research, stands as a beacon that directs our gaze towards the vast bibliography related to the topic. The thorough exploration of 15 scientific articles made up of previous works, legal documents and academic sources constitutes the essence of this journey. Each page read is a step towards a deeper understanding of the context, providing a solid foundation on which the research conclusions will be built.

The descriptive nature of this research translates into a meticulous collection and presentation of documentary data. Every detail, every nuance captured in the bibliographical sources, contributes to the elaboration of a complete and detailed picture of the phenomenon under study. The descriptive acts as a brush that captures not only the general essence, but also the particularities that define the dynamics of the relationship between citizenship and public order in the Ecuadorian context.

The analytical-synthetic method was applied as a tool to break down and critically examine the various pieces of the bibliographical jigsaw. Each work, each concept, was subjected to an analytical scrutiny that reveals connections, contradictions and underlying patterns. The ability to synthesise this information into a coherent whole is the art that shapes a deeper understanding of the citizen-public order dynamic in the Ecuadorian context.

This process is not linear; it is iterative. Constant review of the literature, repeated application of analytical-synthetic analysis and continuous refinement of description



are essential elements. Each cycle of review drives a more refined understanding, like a work of art that evolves with each additional stroke of the brush.

Ultimately, this method amalgamates the richness of bibliographic design, the depth of the descriptive approach and the acuity of the analytic-synthetic method to shape an investigation that not only describes the citizenship-public order dynamic in Ecuador, but also sheds light on the intrinsic connections and nuances that define this relationship in the country's legal and social landscape.

ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS

On the basis of the literature reviewed, the results of the research are presented:

Key aspects of interplay dynamics in Ecuador

The interplay between citizenship and public order in Ecuador is a complex and dynamic phenomenon that reflects the constant interaction between individual rights and collective demands for security and stability in the country's legal and social context. This intrinsic relationship manifests itself in various aspects ranging from legal regulation to citizen participation and institutional responses. Some key aspects of this dynamic in Ecuador are explored below:

Legal and Constitutional Framework: The 2008 Constitution of the Republic of Ecuador establishes a legal framework that recognises and protects the fundamental rights of citizens, while giving the state responsibility for maintaining public order. The Ecuadorian Magna Carta recognises individual rights, but also allows for the restriction of these rights in the interest of security and the common good. The interpretation and application of these constitutional principles shape the dynamic between citizens' rights and the preservation of order (López-Hidalgo, 2019).

Citizen participation: Active citizen participation is a crucial element in interplay dynamics. In Ecuador, civil society plays a prominent role in the defence of individual rights and the promotion of a just public order. Social movements, non-governmental organisations and committed citizens actively contribute to dialogue and the shaping of policies that balance citizen demands with security needs (Espinosa, 2009), (Moncayo-Vives, 2020).



Social and Economic Challenges: Interplay dynamics are also affected by social and economic challenges specific to Ecuador. Factors such as economic inequality, migration, criminality and cultural diversity influence citizens' perceptions of the effectiveness of law enforcement measures. Addressing these problems requires a delicate balance between protecting individual rights and implementing policies that ensure collective security (Rodríguez-Galarza, et al. 2023).

Institutional responses: Governmental and judicial institutions play a central role in interplay dynamics. The way in which the legal system deals with cases involving the limitation of individual rights for the sake of public order, as well as the effectiveness of law enforcement institutions, contributes to society's perception of fairness and justice in the country (Mora-Bernal, et al. 2022).

Regulatory developments: Interplay dynamics are constantly evolving, as laws and policies adapt to social changes and emerging needs. Reviewing and updating legal regulations are fundamental processes to ensure that legislation adequately reflects democratic values and human rights, without compromising public safety (Sacoto-Romo, & Cordero-Moscoso, 2021).

In summary, the dynamic interplay between citizenship and public order in Ecuador is a multifaceted field that requires an integral consideration of legal, social and economic factors. The search for an appropriate balance between the protection of individual rights and the preservation of public order is essential for the sustainable development of a just and equitable society.

Balancing individual rights with collective demands for security and stability in Ecuador

Exploring the balance between individual rights and collective demands for security and stability in Ecuador reveals a complex and dynamic tapestry that reflects the challenges inherent in constructing a just legal and social system. As we move forward in this discussion, it distils the need to carefully consider not only the legal and constitutional frameworks that lay the foundations of this relationship, but also the intricate interplay between citizen participation, socio-economic challenges and institutional responses (Zambrano-Noles, 2011).



The Magna Carta of 2008, which embraces the principles of human rights and democracy, serves as a compass, delineating the scope and limits of individual rights and providing the basis for the management of public order. However, this constitutional framework is not static; it is a living organism that evolves in response to changing social and economic dynamics. The discussion of balance, therefore, cannot be static or confined to the letter of the law; it requires active consideration of its practical application and adaptations over time.

Citizen participation stands as an essential pillar in the construction of this balance. The voices of civil society not only act as guardians of individual rights, but also influence policy formulation and the shaping of collective perceptions of the effectiveness of security and stability measures. This constant dialogue between citizens and authorities is crucial to maintain a dynamic balance that responds to the changing needs of society.

In the context of socio-economic challenges, the discussion on the balance between individual rights and collective demands expands to address fundamental issues such as economic inequality, social mobility and cultural diversity. These factors contextualise how society perceives and values measures that seek to preserve public order. The ability to adapt to these complexities will contribute directly to the effectiveness and legitimacy of the policies implemented.

In terms of institutional responses, the debate focuses on the effectiveness and transparency of the legal and governmental system. How institutions deal with cases involving the restriction of individual rights and their ability to maintain a balance between security and freedom reveals the health and robustness of the system as a whole. This discussion invites critical reflection on the need for an agile and transparent administration of justice.

The discussion on the balance between individual rights and collective demands in Ecuador goes beyond a mere legal analysis. It extends to the very roots of society, demanding comprehensive attention to the complexities that define this relationship. In this evolving dialogue, the ability to adapt and learn from past experiences becomes a crucial element in building a system that truly reflects the fundamental values of a democratic and just society.



Ecuador's contemporary legal scene

In Ecuador's vibrant contemporary legal landscape, the intersection of tradition and transformation is eloquently manifested. As the complexities of this landscape are examined, a reflection on the dynamic capacity of the legal system to address emerging challenges in an evolving society emerges.

At the heart of this discussion is the 2008 Constitution, a beacon that lights the way to a new era of fundamental rights and social justice. However, this document is not a static monument, but a living document that resonates with the changing voices of Ecuadorian society. Contemporary discussion framed in this constitutional context demands a critical examination of how regulations are translated into practice, and how the legal system responds to the demands and challenges of an ever-changing reality.

The consolidation of an effective legal landscape is also shaped by the dynamic interaction between government institutions and civil society. The ability of these institutions to interpret and apply the law impartially and efficiently, as well as their openness to dialogue with society, are essential components in forging a legal structure that inspires trust and reflects the fundamental values of democracy.

Emerging technologies, globalisation and economic transformations introduce new challenges that require agile and adaptive responses from the legal system. The discussion in this regard expands to address issues of cybersecurity, data protection, and the need for updated regulations that respect individual rights without sacrificing efficiency in an interconnected world.

In the contemporary legal fabric, the lessons of the past are crucial guides for the future. Judicial precedents, legislative decisions and case law form a corpus that must be interpreted with wisdom and flexibility to adapt to the changing demands of society. Discussion of the contemporary legal landscape invites reflection on how lessons from the past can inform future decisions and how the continuity of justice can be ensured in the midst of social evolution (Mila, 2020).

Ultimately, Ecuador's contemporary legal landscape presents itself as fertile ground for reflection and innovation. The discussion in this section of the scientific article



seeks not only to examine the current reality, but also to project visions that inspire meaningful change. In this process of exploration, the capacity of the legal system to be a catalyst for progress and equity becomes a crucial factor for the future of justice in the country.

Exploring the Commandments of Security: In-Depth Analysis of the Organic Code of Public Security and Public Order Entities (COESCOP)

In the intertwined pages of the Registro Oficial Supplement 19 of 21 June 2017, the normative epicentre that shapes the legal architecture of citizen security and public order in Ecuador emerges: the Organic Code of Citizen Security and Public Order Entities (COESCOP). In this analytical odyssey, we unearth the foundations, examine the main beams and explore the ramifications of this code, whose contours transcend the printed words to penetrate the very essence of citizen coexistence (Castro, & Suárez-Merino, 2023).

Each article, each provision, is a brick in the construction of COESCOP's foundations. From the definition of competencies and responsibilities of citizen security entities to the precise delimitation of actions that safeguard public order, the code stands as an architectural guide that embodies the vision of a safe and orderly society. A detailed review of these foundations provides a clear understanding of the guidelines governing the actions of security entities.

But beyond the surface of the words, the analysis dives between the lines of COESCOP, looking for subtleties and possible interpretations: how do individual rights balance with the authority of security entities? To what extent does the code safeguard the integrity of citizens without compromising fundamental freedoms? Critical reflection becomes our beacon, illuminating not only the declared intentions, but also the practical implications of each provision. COESCOP is not just a treatise on theories; it is a tool for application in the field of everyday reality.

From control operations to the management of mass events, each article of the code faces the acid test in the most diverse situations. How do the provisions translate into tangible actions? What challenges do security entities face in implementing



these regulations? This exploration takes COESCOP from the legal pages to the pragmatic stage. The true effectiveness of any code lies in its ability to positively impact the reality it seeks to regulate. Our assessment extends beyond the printed words, scrutinising how COESCOP has influenced citizen security and public order since its enactment. Have security institutions been strengthened? How has citizen perception of the code's effectiveness evolved? These answers reveal the true extent of COESCOP's impact.

In this journey through COESCOP, our aim is not only to decipher the legal provisions, but also to capture the essence of a code that ultimately seeks to build a society where security and public order coexist in harmony with the fundamental principles of a just and equitable democracy.

Exploring the Tapestry of Human Rights: An In-Depth Analysis in Ecuador's Law and Order

In the vibrant scenario of Ecuador's public order, human rights are not just a letter of intent; they are the fabric that shapes the very fabric of social coexistence. In this analysis, we look at how human rights are intertwined with the essence of public order in Ecuador, beyond mere normative declarations.

Each human right recognised in the Ecuadorian Constitution becomes a foundation of public order. From the right to life and integrity to freedom of expression, these rights act not only as individual safeguards, but as pillars underpinning the construction of a just and equitable society. A review of how these rights are incorporated into the legal framework is essential to understand their role in shaping public order (Morán-Mejía, *et al.* 2022).

At the heart of the relationship between human rights and public order lies a persistent ethical dilemma: how to balance the need to maintain security with unrestricted respect for individual rights? This analysis requires deep reflection on how public order measures can affect citizen freedom and how to ensure that these restrictions are aligned with fundamental human rights principles.

Human rights in public order also find expression in citizen participation. The right to demonstrate and to free association are not only essential to the exercise of



democracy, but are also critical tools for the expression of the citizen voice in shaping policy and scrutinising government actions. Their role in maintaining public order becomes a constant dance between authority and the voice of society (Atencio-González, 2022).

Human rights, far from being abstract, are anchored in legal guarantees. A review of how courts interpret and apply these rights in cases related to public order reveals the strength of the judicial system in protecting fundamental principles. The guarantee of due process and the prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment act as a protective shield, ensuring that public order measures are within the limits set by human rights (Tórtora-Aravena, 2010).

The true test of the integration of human rights into law and order is the continuous assessment of their application in reality: how do these principles translate into everyday practices; are these rights respected and protected during mass events, demonstrations or emergency situations? The review is not static; it is a dynamic assessment of the effective coexistence of human rights and public order.

In this in-depth analysis, we not only discern human rights in Ecuador's public order, but also seek to understand how these two spheres intertwine in a complex dance. Beyond the legal rhetoric, the true measure of success lies in Ecuador's ability to build a public order that not only maintains security, but does so while respecting and promoting human rights as the very soul of democratic coexistence (Macías-Fernández, *et al.* 2023).

CONCLUSIONS

At the crossroads between citizenship and public order in Ecuador, a complex tapestry emerges, woven from the threads of individual rights and collective demands for security and stability. This phenomenon, far from being static, reveals an intrinsic dynamic that manifests itself in every corner of the country's legal and social context. As we explore the intricacies of this interplay, from the legal framework to citizen participation and institutional responses, several key aspects are distilled that shed light on the complexity of this relationship.



The legal and constitutional framework, delineated by the 2008 Constitution, acts as the backbone of this dynamic, recognising fundamental rights while granting the state responsibility for maintaining law and order. However, the true essence is revealed in the interpretation and application of these constitutional principles, which shape the balance between citizens' rights and the preservation of order.

Citizen participation emerges as a leading actor, weaving its influence into the dialogue and formulation of policies that seek to balance citizen demands with security needs. Social movements, non-governmental organisations and committed citizens stand as guardians of individual rights, actively contributing to the shaping of a just and equitable society.

Social and economic challenges, from economic inequality to cultural diversity, influence citizens' perceptions of the effectiveness of law enforcement measures. Addressing these challenges requires a delicate balance between protecting individual rights and implementing policies that ensure collective security.

Institutional responses, both governmental and judicial, play a central role. How the legal system deals with the restriction of individual rights in the interest of public order, together with the effectiveness of law enforcement institutions, contributes to society's perception of fairness and justice in the country.

Regulatory evolution, constantly adapting to social changes and emerging needs, is essential to ensure that legislation adequately reflects democratic values and human rights without compromising public security.

In sum, the dynamic interplay between citizenship and law and order in Ecuador is a multifaceted field that requires a comprehensive consideration of legal, social and economic factors. The search for an appropriate balance between the protection of individual rights and the preservation of public order is essential for the sustainable development of a just and equitable society. In this analytical journey, we not only decipher the key elements of this dynamic, but also underline the importance of maintaining a constant and adaptive dialogue to build a strong and resilient social fabric in Ecuador.



FUNDING

Non-monetary

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest with persons or institutions involved in the research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To the Ecuadorian society that struggles to find truth and justice.

REFERENCES

- Atencio-González, Rously Eedyah. (2022). Importancia de los Derechos Humanos en la Sociedad [Importance of Human Rights in Society]. *Iustitia Socialis. Revista Arbitrada de Ciencias Jurídicas y Criminalísticas*, 7(12), 2-3. <https://doi.org/10.35381/racji.v7i12.1569>
- Azanza-Torres, María Luisa. (2022). Aplicación del estándar del principio de igualdad en la jurisprudencia contemporánea de la corte constitucional del Ecuador [Application of the standard of the principle of equality in the contemporary jurisprudence of the constitutional court of Ecuador]. *Ius Humani. Revista de Derecho*, 11(1), 75-106. Epub 01 de junio de 2022. <https://doi.org/10.31207/ih.v11i1.297>
- Castro, S., & Suárez-Merino, E. N. (2023). Graduación de las sanciones en faltas administrativas disciplinarias según el COESOP y la seguridad jurídica [Graduation of penalties for administrative disciplinary offences according to COESOP and legal certainty]. *CIENCIA UNEMI*, 16(43), 114-131. <https://doi.org/10.29076/issn.2528-7737vol16iss43.2023pp114-131p>
- Espinosa, Mario. (2009). La participación ciudadana como una relación socio-estatal acotada por la concepción de democracia y ciudadanía [Citizen participation as a socio-state relationship bounded by the concept of democracy and citizenship]. *Andamios*, 5(10), 71-109
- López-Hidalgo, Sebastián. (2019). Configuración de los derechos fundamentales y su contenido esencial en el constitucionalismo ecuatoriano [The configuration of fundamental rights and their essential content in Ecuadorian constitutionalism]. *Cuestiones constitucionales*, (41), 221-247. <https://doi.org/10.22201/ij.24484881e.2019.41.13946>
- Macías-Fernández, Walter Samno, & Campain Márquez., Jorge Ney. (2023). Justiciabilidad directa de los Derechos Humanos y exigencia de ratificación de Instrumentos Internacionales en el Ecuador [Direct Justiciability of Human Rights and the Requirement of Ratification of International Instruments in Ecuador]. *Revista San Gregorio*, 1(54), 269-292. <https://doi.org/10.36097/rsan.v0i54.1982>
- Mila, Frank. (2020). La responsabilidad penal de las personas jurídicas en el derecho ecuatoriano [The criminal liability of legal persons in Ecuadorian law]. *Ius et Praxis*, 26(1), 149-170. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-00122020000100149>
- Moncayo-Vives, Guido Andrés. (2020). Participación ciudadana y politización institucional: veinte años de un dicotómico quinto poder en Ecuador [Citizen Participation and Institutional Politicisation: Twenty Years of a Dichotomous Fifth Estate in Ecuador]. *Estado & comunes, revista de políticas y problemas públicos*, 2(11), 119-134. https://doi.org/10.37228/estado_comunes.v2.n11.2020.177
- Mora-Bernal, Adriana Elizabeth, Sánchez Sarmiento, Marcela Paz, Cajamarca Torres, Andrea Estefanía, & Idrovo Torres, Diego Francisco. (2022). El sistema automático de trámite judicial en



Ecuador: ¿vulnera derechos fundamentales? [The automatic judicial processing system in Ecuador: does it violate fundamental rights?]. *Revista chilena de derecho y tecnología*, 11(1), 203-228. <https://dx.doi.org/10.5354/0719-2584.2022.61859>

Morán-Mejía, Mónica Mabel, Atencio-González, Rously Eedyah, & Moreno-Arvelo, Pamilyl Milagros. (2022). Vulneración de los derechos humanos a migrantes venezolanos en tiempos de pandemia en Ecuador [Human rights violations against Venezuelan migrants in times of pandemic in Ecuador]. *Iustitia Socialis. Revista Arbitrada de Ciencias Jurídicas y Criminalísticas*, 7(12), 16-26. <https://doi.org/10.35381/racji.v7i12.1575>

Rey-Varela, J. M. (2023). Perspectiva dinámica de los derechos sociales y retos para una buena administración [A dynamic perspective on social rights and the challenges of good governance]. *Lex Social: Revista De Derechos Sociales*, 13(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.46661/lexsocial.7939>

Rincón, Andrés. (2018). Abordajes teóricos sobre la relación entre seguridad ciudadana y violencia urbana en Colombia: una lectura crítica [Theoretical approaches to the relationship between citizen security and urban violence in Colombia: a critical reading]. *URVIO Revista Latinoamericana de Estudios de Seguridad*, (22), 86-100. <https://doi.org/10.17141/urvio.22.2018.3149>

Rodríguez-Galarza, Fidel Ernesto, Vera Alcívar, David Gonzalo, & Carrera-Reyes, Carlos Enrique. (2023). Alternativas y desafíos para enfrentar la transición de la era post petrolera en el Ecuador [Alternatives and challenges to face the transition of the post-oil era in Ecuador]. *FIGEMPA: Investigación y Desarrollo*, 16(2), 143-162. <https://doi.org/10.29166/revfig.v16i2.4721>

Sacoto-Romo, María Carolina, & Cordero-Moscoso, Juan Manuel. (2021). E-justicia en Ecuador: inclusión de las TIC en la administración de justicia [E-justice in Ecuador: inclusion of ICTs in the administration of justice]. *Foro: Revista de Derecho*, (36), 91-110. <https://doi.org/10.32719/26312484.2021.36.5>

Tórtora-Aravena, Hugo. (2010). Las limitaciones a los derechos fundamentales [Limitations on fundamental rights]. *Estudios constitucionales*, 8(2), 167-200. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-52002010000200007>

Villegas-Díaz, M. (2023). Ley de Seguridad del Estado y delitos contra el orden público [State Security Act and offences against public order]. *Revista De Derecho*, 36(1), 307–327. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-09502023000100307>

Zambrano-Noles, Silvia. (2016). El acceso a la justicia y la tutela judicial efectiva en relación con la seguridad ciudadana en Ecuador [Access to justice and effective judicial protection in relation to citizen security in Ecuador]. *Tla-melaua*, 9(39), 58-78.